

Serial No. 10/730,722

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed, and reconsideration and favorable action is respectfully requested.

CLAIM REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. 112

Claims 1-15 were originally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention.

However, the Examiner has pointed out that claims 1-15 would be allowable if amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office Action.


Responsive to this, claim 1 is amended according to the Examiner's instructions and suggestions. Therefore, it is believed that, by the amendments, the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, should be removed and the claims 1-15 should be allowable.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant submits that the application is now in a condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested. If any points remain in issue, which the Examiner feels could best be resolved by either a personal or a telephone interview, he is urged to contact Applicant's attorney at the exchange listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

So-Mel Huang

DATED: December 28, 2004.


by Alan D. Kamrath
Attorney for Applicant
Nikolai & Mersereau, P.A.
900 Second Avenue South
Suite 820 International Centre
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-3813
Telephone: (612) 392-7306
Facsimile: (612) 349-6556